## Message

From: Stauffer, Panah [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=50083CE00E384879B4E4E066B0923C22-BHALLA, PANAH]

**Sent**: 8/29/2019 6:38:28 PM

To: Densberger, Matthew@ARB [Matthew.Densberger@arb.ca.gov]

CC: Smith, Noah [SMITH.NOAH@EPA.GOV]; Xu, Jin@ARB [jin.xu@arb.ca.gov]

Subject: RE: Amador Emergency Episode Plan

## Hi Matthew,

Sure thing, will do. Just to clarify the line edit from before- I was only referring to the CFR citation on pg. 3. I didn't mean the District needed to change their Warning level in Table 4. The lower Warning level they had was fine, and other Districts have chosen the same level. Sorry for any confusion!

I'll do another review and will get back to you promptly. It's possible it will be after the holiday because Noah is leaving today.

Thank you very much again, Panah

Panah Stauffer Air Division (AIR-2) US EPA Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 415-972-3247

From: Densberger, Matthew@ARB <Matthew.Densberger@arb.ca.gov>

**Sent:** Thursday, August 29, 2019 10:54 AM **To:** Stauffer, Panah < Stauffer. Panah@epa.gov>

Cc: Smith, Noah <SMITH.NOAH@EPA.GOV>; Xu, Jin@ARB <jin.xu@arb.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Amador Emergency Episode Plan

Good morning Panah,

Amador County Air District has sent along their latest version of their EEP. They've addressed our final concerns and we'd appreciate it if you would complete another review from EPA's side. We want to make sure everything is good to go!

Thanks,

## Matthew Densberger

Air Quality Analysis Section California Air Resources Board | AQPSD Matthew Densberger@arb.ca.gov Ph# (916)324-7169

From: Stauffer, Panah < Stauffer, Panah@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Friday, August 23, 2019 12:17 PM

To: Xu, Jin@ARB < iin.xu@arb.ca.gov>; Densberger, Matthew@ARB < Matthew.Densberger@arb.ca.gov>; Andrews,

Paul@ARB < Paul. Andrews@arb.ca.gov>; Kwong, Jenette@ARB < jenette.kwong@arb.ca.gov>

**Cc:** Smith, Noah <<u>SMITH.NOAH@EPA.GOV</u>> **Subject:** Amador Emergency Episode Plan

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Jin, Matthew, Paul and Jenette,

Thanks very much for your time yesterday. We really appreciate Amador's incorporating our comments and working with us to improve the template.

We have one edit and one question remaining:

Edit: Pg. 3 in many of the plans says, "As set forth in CFR, three trigger levels (stages) are established for the ozone pollution episodes: Alert level (0.2 ppm), Warning level (0.35 ppm), and Emergency level (0.5 ppm)."

The CFR actually has 0.4 ppm for the ozone Warning level. I suggest correcting this number so that future plans can use the same language.

Question: On Pg. 6, Figure 2 and the text below it say that "other stationary sources" are the largest source of NOX. Are the sources in Table 2 only permitted sources, or are they the 5 biggest sources overall? If they're only the permitted sources, is that because the "other stationary sources" are all smaller in size? A sentence explaining the relationship between Table 2 and the "other stationary sources" would be very helpful.

Thank you again! Panah

Panah Stauffer Air Division (AIR-2) US EPA Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 415-972-3247